

Corruption of the



**World Health
Organization**

Logan Christopher

DISCLAIMER

The health advice contained within this book is for educational purposes only and is not intended for medical purposes. The author and publisher of this book are not responsible in any manner whatsoever for the use, misuse or dis-use of the information presented here.

Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)

You are free to:

Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format

The licensor cannot revoke these freedoms as long as you follow the license terms.

Under the following terms:

- Attribution — You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made. You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use.
- NonCommercial — You may not use the material for commercial purposes.
- NoDerivatives — If you remix, transform, or build upon the material, you may not distribute the modified material.
- No additional restrictions — You may not apply legal terms or technological measures that legally restrict others from doing anything the license permits.

Notices:

You do not have to comply with the license for elements of the material in the public domain or where your use is permitted by an applicable exception or limitation.

No warranties are given. The license may not give you all of the permissions necessary for your intended use. For example, other rights such as publicity, privacy, or moral rights may limit how you use the material.

[More details here.](#)

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	5
Controversies of Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General	7
Tigray People’s Liberation Front	7
Covering Up Cholera Outbreaks?.....	8
Elected as Director General of WHO	9
President Robert Mugabe.....	10
Relationship to Taiwan	12
Handling the Coronavirus Pandemic.....	12
WHO – Patterns of Corruption Part 1.....	15
Who Controls the WHO?	15
Trust WHO.....	17
Tobacco Science and WHO Consultants	18
Captured Agency by Big Pharma.....	19
WHO Fueling the Opioid Epidemic	21
Former WHO Director General Warns of Pharma Industry Taking Over.....	22
Another WHO Whistleblower	23
Swine Flu (H1N1) Pandemic.....	24
Rampant Conflicts of Interest	26
WHO and Chernobyl	27
WHO and Fukushima	29
WHO – Patterns of Corruption Part 2.....	31
WHO Spends More on Travel than AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria	31
Vaccines are Safe and Effective...but We Don’t Know How Many People They Kill.....	33
The Goal is Universal Vaccination.....	34
Informed Consent...By Default.....	36
WHO’s Breach of Ethics with Malaria Vaccine.....	38
The COVID19 Pandemic	39
Separating Families for Quarantining	40
Believe the WHO...or Believe the WHO?	40
WHO Urges Sweden to Revise Course	42
Antibodies = No Immunity	43

Funding and Defunding the WHO.....	44
WHO Largely Funded by Gates Foundation.....	45
Trust the WHO – Mainstream Media and Big Tech All Do.....	47
Closing Thoughts.....	48
About the Author	50

Introduction

I am not happy to have written this. I wish, truly wish, that the WHO was a shining beacon of health practices and humankind coming together to support each other. Alas, that is not what I find when I look at the data.

Many people choose to stick their head in the sand about such issues. I get that. It's not fun to look at. It is tremendously disruptive to a calm, consensus worldview. Yet, I look for the truth...wherever it leads me.

In my health journey, I saw 70 year old's in amazing health as an ideal worth emulating. I found that simple things like changes to diet, elimination of environmental toxins, various lifestyle practices were all that was needed to cure many diseases. There were plenty of people that were bucking the standard medical system and were so much healthier for it.

Personally, I would have been fine to go down this road myself. To continue building my businesses and teaching others who wanted to be taught.

But they went too far. They started taking away rights. They made mandates on what I knew to NOT be in my own best interest for health. For me and my family. I knew I had to start to fight against this.

Furthermore, they began to censor anyone who spoke up against this in subtle ways. With that happening, I knew I had to fight NOW before it was too late.

So I started writing. I revealed the corruption hiding in plain sight. I named names. I wouldn't pull my punches any longer.

Contrary to the public perception, the WHO and related organizations do not want us to be healthy, sovereign individuals. But I do. And I will fight for it.

What follows is about 40 hours of research. While fairly comprehensive, it certainly doesn't cover everything but will give you an overall glimpse into the WHO and how they operate.

This is extensively referenced. I am not asking you to take my word for it. Perhaps that is why I quote people so much! Dig in yourself and verify these things. If I am wrong, if you can refute anything you see here, I would love to hear it. You can reach me directly at logan@legendarystrength.com.

But I think if you do look, you'll find everything I say is backed up.

The WHO is held up as the worldwide authority on health. Based on their track record, they should not be. They're not my authority. I do not consent.

If you agree with me and find this useful, please share this report freely. Send it to the people you've been talking to. Post it online. Do anything with it you choose, as long as you keep it intact. You can link to it at <http://loganchristopher.com/who>

And there is much more. I am writing regularly on all things related to this Pandemic going on right now. My main focus is health, but I'm talking about economics, government and more too.

You can find my other articles, and signup to receive notification of new ones, at <http://loganchristopher.com/pandemic/>

Controversies of Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General

April 22, 2020

Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus has served since 2017 as the Director-General of the World Health Organization. He is the first non-medical doctor to do so, instead a PhD in community health.



(Photo by -/AFP via Getty Images)

Previously, he was the Minister of Health from 2005 to 2012 and Minister of Foreign Affairs from 2012 to 2016 in Ethiopia.

Tigray People's Liberation Front

Tedros is a member of the TPLF, an ethnic based leftist political party. **The TPLF is actively listed as a perpetrator in [the Global Terrorism Database](#)**, based on ten incidents from between 1976 and 1990.

[New York Times reports](#) Tedros “was the country’s foreign minister, and during this time the government suppressed dissent. Human Rights Watch and Amnesty International reports describe villages displaced, protesters massacred by the police, dissidents tortured and journalists imprisoned. Dr. Tedros is not accused of participation, but he is among the ruling party elite. The rights violations ‘should never have happened,’ he said.”

Here is one such voice that fought against Tedros saying he WAS responsible. The Amharas are an ethnic group in Ethiopia, comprising one third of the population. The Amhara Professionals Union produced this paper, [“International Organizations Leadership Recruitment Policies: the Failed Experiment of Dr. Tedros A. Ghebreyesus Candidacy for WHO Director General Position”](#)

The executive summary lists out 13 points, of which they say Tedros is guilty, specifically against their people:

1. Discrimination/Marginalization
2. Crime against Humanity
3. Systematic genocidal violence
4. Biased policies, inaction and impartiality
5. Corruption and misuse of budget
6. Disregard for Humanity
7. Incompetency/Inaction
8. Lack of transparency
9. Maleficence and risking public safety
10. Poor judgement
11. Lack of accountability
12. Violation of basic Human Rights/Suppression of freedom of expression
13. [Lack of] Integrity/Truthfulness/Honesty

The document appears to back up all the claims with statistics and references in this 70 page document.

The terrorist TPLF helped fund Tedros’ bid for WHO director.

Covering Up Cholera Outbreaks?

Tedros has been [accused of covering up previous epidemics, specifically three times with cholera in Ethiopia](#). To be fair, these accusations were from an adviser to an opponent in his WHO directorship. Of course, Tedros denied these accusations.

But these were not completely baseless. [Earlier articles](#) discussed unnamed health officials in Ethiopia (Tedros was top health person at the time) of not wanting to test what would ultimately be labelled “acute watery diarrhea” for the cholera microbes. This was because of fear of affecting food exports and tourism.

A telling section of that NY Times article says, “Under the International Health Regulations, which apply to all W.H.O. members, countries must accurately report

disease outbreaks. But the W.H.O. can officially report only what countries say. Historically, some countries have tried to cover up or play down outbreaks of human or animal diseases for fear that travel restrictions would be imposed, tourism would suffer or food exports would be curtailed — or simply as a matter of national pride...**The regulations were strengthened after China denied for months in 2003 that it had a serious outbreak of lethal respiratory disease in its southern cities.** That outbreak ultimately became known as SARS, for severe acute respiratory syndrome, and spread to several other countries, including Canada.” (emphasis added)

Elected as Director General of WHO

Elections are done by secret ballots. This allows for backdoor deals to be cut. Laurie Garrett, a senior fellow at the Council on Foreign Relations, [tells of a prior election for the WHO director in 1991](#).

“I was in a queue at the post office when the conference room door flung open at my back and an enormous rolled rug flew out, narrowly missing me and landing with a loud thud that couldn’t cover the sound of an African minister of health’s roaring voice.”

“A rug! A rug?” the large East African woman shouted at a trio of Japanese diplomats scampering out of the chamber. “You think you can buy my vote with a rug? Do you think I am that cheap?”

“The enraged minister then loudly delineated a list of “promises” (a.k.a. bribes) the Japanese had reportedly made to other voting members of the executive board, including construction of a hospital, payment of school fees for the children of Switzerland-based nationals employed at WHO, promised employment in plush Geneva for friends and family of the would-be voter and a range of big construction projects. The episode was astounding not because it transpired, but that it did so in front of many witnesses, including an American journalist.”

Understanding the backroom deals are made for organizations such as the WHO (and certainly not the only one) is helpful for understanding geopolitics.

[New York Times reports](#) “Tedros was elected with the strong support of China...He has firmly backed Beijing’s claims to have been open and transparent about the outbreak, despite strong evidence that it suppressed early reports on infections.”

In 2012, the African Union headquarters was built in Ethiopia for \$200 million. This was the largest construction project since the 1970's paid for by China. This was one construction project of many. [CNN reports](#), "While Beijing defends its aid practices on the grounds they are neutral and respect recipient nations' sovereignty, Chinese money is not wholly unpolitical."

"China's President Xi Jinping pledged \$60bn for development in Africa," which included [\\$4 billion for the Ethiopia-Djibouti railway](#).

[Tedros himself mentioned in 2015 on Facebook](#), "Under the "Go Global" program of #China, we expect increased Chinese investment flow to #Ethiopia . The 8 industrial parks identified throughout #Ethiopia , some already under construction, will facilitate the migration of Chinese companies to #Ethiopia"

I don't know exactly what sort of deals went down, but it is clear that Tedros is very much tied to people in power in the Chinese Communist Party and the money that flows because of such.

President Robert Mugabe

Current events are not the first time that Tedros is facing calls to resign. In 2017, he appointed Zimbabwe president, Robert Mugabe as the WHO's goodwill ambassador for non-communicable disease in Africa.

Here's a bio video if you're not familiar with this socialist revolutionary turned dictator.



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XB9vMcMXs6s>

[A Guardian article wrote](#), “Mugabe rigged elections and began a programme of land reform in which white farmers were forcibly evicted to make way for Zanu-PF party cronies or black Zimbabweans who lacked the skills and capital to farm. This helped throw the economy into disarray. Hyperinflation ran riot and supermarket shelves were empty. The once-proud school and health systems began to crumble. The political environment also became increasingly hostile, with activists and journalists persecuted, jailed or murdered. More than 200 people died in political violence around the 2008 election, which Mugabe was widely seen as having stolen from the MDC’s Morgan Tsvangirai.”

[Tedros said](#), “I am honoured to be joined by President Mugabe, of Zimbabwe, a country that places universal health coverage and health promotion at the centre of its policies to provide healthcare to all.”

Please note that Mugabe did not use Zimbabwe’s healthcare, instead traveling Singapore for himself and his family.

[Physicians for Human Rights \(PHR\) wrote a withering report of Mugabe’s government](#). “What happens when a government presides over the dramatic reversal of its population’s access to food, clean water, basic sanitation, and healthcare? When government policies lead directly to the shuttering of hospitals and clinics, the closing of its medical school, and the beatings of health workers? We don’t need to wonder. It is happening now in Zimbabwe. PHR has witnessed the devastation caused by the willful neglect of Zimbabwe’s people by the Government of Robert Mugabe.”

[Even the US state department said](#): “This appointment clearly contradicts the United Nations ideals of respect for human rights and human dignity.”

The appointment was rescinded under the private and public outcry. Wasn’t this obviously a bad idea to Tedros before it happened? Many think that this appointment was payback to both Mugabe and China.

“Hillel Neuer, executive director of the watchdog organization UN Watch, told me, “It’s clear that this was a prize, if not compensation, for something.” Tedros may have been rewarding Mugabe for supporting his nomination to the WHO post last year, when Tedros was Ethiopia’s foreign minister and Mugabe headed the African Union... Beijing strongly supports Mugabe, and Mugabe has repaid the favor, helping to ease pressure from Africans who criticize China for exploiting Africa’s

natural resources. In December 2015, Mugabe gushed about Xi at the China-Africa summit in Johannesburg," [from the Washington Post](#).

Relationship to Taiwan

The day after being elected as Director, [Tedros reiterated the WHO's adherence to the one-China principle](#), meaning that Taiwan would not be recognized.

This has led to the well-circulated video of WHO official, Canadian epidemiologist Bruce Aylward dodging questions about Taiwan.



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UICYFh8U2xM>

This is particularly interesting because “Taiwan said its doctors had heard from mainland colleagues that medical staff were getting ill — a sign of human-to-human transmission. Taipei officials said they reported this to both International Health Regulations (IHR), a WHO framework for exchange of epidemic prevention and response data between 196 countries, and Chinese health authorities on December 31. Taiwanese government officials told [the Financial Times](#) the warning was not shared with other countries.”

Handling the Coronavirus Pandemic

Here is a good and short overview video on the WHO's response, which covers some of the other things mentioned here.



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=c07MnRmJPcl>

On Jan 14th, the [WHO tweeted](#) “Preliminary investigations conducted by the Chinese authorities have found no clear evidence of human-to-human transmission of the novel #coronavirus (2019-nCoV) identified in #Wuhan, #China”

On January 28th, [Tedros talked about China including](#), “the transparency they have demonstrated, including sharing data.”

Please note that China has officially revised their stats, increasing them by 50% as [reported on April 17th](#). (As if these new numbers should be taken as the truth.)

Meanwhile, [coronavirus whistleblowers in China are still missing](#).

[The WHO didn't even send a team to China until February 10th](#).

On [February 15th](#), [Tedros stated](#), “China has bought the world time.”

Meanwhile, “John Mackenzie, a member of the WHO executive committee, [publicly stated](#) that international action would have been different if not for China’s “reprehensible” obfuscation of outbreak’s extent.”

In [a recent report put out by the WHO](#), Tedros stated: “It has now been more than 100 days since WHO was notified of the first cases of what we now call COVID-19, and much has changed since we launched the first Strategic Preparedness and Response Plan two months ago... One of the main things we’ve learned is that the

faster all cases are found, tested and isolated, the harder we make it for this virus to spread.”

Are you kidding me? I have never been a health minister. I’m not a part of the WHO. I’m not an infectious disease expert. But I could have told you from the very beginning of this that finding cases, testing them and then isolating them would help. Seriously, this is what you’ve learned?

Today, [April 22nd, he said](#), “Most countries are still in the early stages of their epidemics and some that were affected early in the pandemic are now starting to see a resurgence in cases. Make no mistake, we have a long way to go. This virus will be with us for a long time. There is no question that stay-at-home orders and other physical distancing measures have successfully suppressed transmission in many countries. But this virus remains extremely dangerous.”

Since the WHO is the leader of the response for this pandemic that is almost assuredly what is going to happen.

Again I ask, is Tedros merely inept at his job? Or is all this a sign of corruption? I leave you to judge for yourself.

Yet this only scratches the surface. In the next article I’ll be detailing patterns of corruption from the WHO over the years. Lots of other people have been covering Tedros as of late but I went far and wide to round up what’s coming next.

History is the best indicator of future performance. History of the WHO helps give perspective on current events. Because they are the worldwide health authority now we should know if they deserve that status.

WHO – Patterns of Corruption Part 1

April 24, 2020

In this post the goal is to paint a broader picture of the controversies surrounding the WHO over the years. It ballooned in size because the more you dig the more you find, so it will be split to two parts.

The goal of this is to make a more critically informed decision on whether we really should be looking to this organization as the authority on health in the COVID19 pandemic or any other matter of health.

But first a little bit of how I feel it is best to think about the WHO, or any large organization.

Who Controls the WHO?

Is it China? Is it Bill Gates? Is it Big Pharma? (Many people are latching straight onto their favorite enemy right now.)

But control is not a useful word to be using. The world is messy. Lots of people want to dismiss any “conspiracy theories” because they often point out that control of something so complex, or too many people involved which would be complicit, that this idea is ludicrous.

And I agree with that for the most part. Systems are complicated with many interlocking parts.

That’s why the way I see it, it’s not so much about control as about influence.

If Big Pharma can get policies and decisions swayed in their favor just 10% of the time, as I’ll prove shortly they’ve done over and over again, that gives them an unfair advantage. If one high-up person is “in their pocket” and they make a decision on a policy that has lasting impact this has occurred.

This then means through time they’ll continue to benefit allowing for more similar actions to be taken. In other words, the 10% compounds over time.

It also means that the one person becomes two, becomes five. Any degree of corruption at high levels allows for more corrupt people, not less, to gain more

power. After all, almost all of these positions are appointed not elected. (And we know elections can be gamed too!)

Corruption spreads. In a backroom deal someone basically says you do this thing for us you'll get the position. With the position you help us gain more profits and power so we incentivize the next person...

At the same time corruption stops "good people" from being successful. They get locked out in one fashion or another. We'll see examples of that from employees of the WHO blowing the whistle later on.

If Gates can earmark certain funds he donates to specific projects, and those projects involve buying drugs from companies he is invested in, personally or through his foundation, that's a serious conflict of interest at the very least. He then gains money that allows him to further influence the WHO. This topic will be explored further in part two.

Understand, because the WHO is a large organization, with about 7000 employees, these kinds of things can be occurring while there are also legitimate, good life-saving projects also being done. **It's not black and white or an either/or thing, it is both/and situation.**

Although systems are important, understand that these come down to people making decisions. Thus, it may be best to think of the people involved in a few different buckets:

1. There are some really good people that are genuinely striving to solve the world's health problems.
2. There are some that are simply bureaucratic types that may not influence things one way or another. They're largely just doing their jobs, punching the clock.
3. There are some that are undoubtedly and fully corrupt. (Remember, sociopaths exist and they have a higher than average chance of rising in position because of such!)
4. There are those that allow corruption in small ways (such as a consultancy fee from a pharmaceutical company). As we know from doctors that attend pharma-sponsored events, meals or receive kickbacks, they make think they're then making independent choices but their actions show they've been swayed. ([One study example here.](#))

The saying is that power corrupts and absolute power corrupts absolutely. **With these types of people involved and with money involved, corruption is pretty much inevitable...even if the majority of people are in group one!**

The question is not whether the WHO is corrupt or not. Instead the big question is how much corruption is involved? How much harmful influence is involved? This exploration is not exhaustive but is meant to give us some answers to these questions.

Trust WHO

Several things mentioned here I found out because of the documentary Trust Who by Lillian Franck. [If you have Amazon Prime you can watch it free.](#)

This video was recently removed from Vimeo a few days ago due to violating their policies. Here you can see comments on this from the producer of the film as well as a clip from inside.



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VjQGyqVN5RM>

If you're interested, I suggest watching the documentary soon. Amazon has similarly censored documentaries before due to political pressure so it may not be

available there for long. More on increasing censorship surrounding the WHO at the end of this part two.

I report below on several things learned from that documentary, but certainly not everything. And I also share other things that are not covered there at all.

Tobacco Science and WHO Consultants

Tobacco having big effects on health, it has been a major focus of the World Health Organization for a long time.

Of course, tobacco science, lobbying and various other methods were very effective in keeping this from happening for many years. Thomas Zeltner, one of the good guys, chaired a committee which looked at Big Tobacco's influence on the WHO itself. This resulted in the report ["Tobacco Company Strategies to Undermine Tobacco Control Activities at the World Health Organization"](#) in July 2000.

"Believe me, folks, you'll want to read this important new evidence on the effects of smoking. Then you'll see... as I do... MUCH Milder CHESTERFIELD IS BEST FOR ME!"
Thomas Zeltner

NOW... Scientific Evidence on Effects of Smoking!

A MEDICAL SPECIALIST is making regular bi-monthly examinations of a group of people from various walks of life. 45 percent of this group have smoked Chesterfield for an average of over ten years.

After ten months, the medical specialist reports that he observed...

no adverse effects on the nose, throat and sinuses of the group from smoking Chesterfield.

MUCH Milder CHESTERFIELD IS BEST FOR YOU

First and Only Premium Quality Cigarette in Both Regular and King-Size

CONTAINS TOBACCO OF BETTER QUALITY AND HIGHER PRICE THAN ANY OTHER KING-SIZE CIGARETTE

APRIL 1993 13

"No Adverse Effects" – Science has been bought for a long time

Just a few quotes from inside:

- "Evidence from tobacco industry documents reveals that tobacco companies have operated for many years with the deliberate purpose of subverting the efforts of the

World Health Organization (WHO) to control tobacco use. The attempted subversion has been elaborate, well financed, sophisticated, and usually invisible.”

- “In one of their most significant strategies for influencing WHO’s tobacco control activities, **tobacco companies developed and maintained relationships with current or former WHO staff, consultants and advisors. In some cases, tobacco companies hired or offered future employment to former WHO or UN officials in order to indirectly gain valuable contacts within these organizations that might assist in its goal of influencing WHO activities.** Of greatest concern, tobacco companies have, in some cases, **had their own consultants in positions at WHO, paying them to serve the goals of tobacco companies while working for WHO.** Some of these cases raise serious questions about whether the integrity of WHO decision making has been compromised.”
- “[T]obacco is unlike other threats to health. Reversing the epidemic of tobacco use will be about more than fighting addiction and disease; it will be about overcoming a determined and **powerful industry, many of whose most important counter-strategies are carried out in secret.**”

It is so interesting to read through this 260 page document. (I’ve only skimmed it.) The vast majority of tactics and strategies are laid out. It is well-known that Big Tobacco engaged in this type of multi-pronged warfare and propaganda.

But most people just can’t see it being done in other areas like medicine despite lots of proof of it happening.

Captured Agency by Big Pharma

If you’re not familiar with the term, a “captured agency” is a government agency unduly influenced by economic interest groups directly affected by its decisions. With capture those groups are able to shape regulations and policies that further benefit them.

[The following comes from WikiLeaks from back in 2009.](#)

“This is a confidential pharmaceutical industry trade association dossier about the WHO Expert Working Group (EWG) on R&D Financing. The International Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers & Associations (IFPMA; “Big Pharma”) gave its members 4 documents: a non-public draft report of the WHO EWG and a non-public Comparative Analysis done by the working group, the IFPMA Overview of the EWG Comparative Analysis, and IFPMA summary slide on the EWG Draft Report. **The compilation of documents shows the influence of “Big Pharma” on the policy making decisions of the WHO,** the UN body safeguarding public health. These

confidential documents were obtained by the drug industry before their public release to WHO member states (scheduled to be released May 2010). **The document also illustrates that the WHO expert group was highly responsive to industry lobbying** — a result that public health groups had feared since early 2009, when the expert group met with the industry, but refused to meet with public health groups known to be industry critics.” (emphasis added)



Julian Assange, founder of Wiki-Leaks, is still in jail right now for spreading the truth.

For example, here’s a [quote from inside of one of the documents](#). “While the overall result is in line with most of the industry positions on this matter, please note that the EWG is currently meeting and there is still room for them to introduce new language. We will update you as new information become available, and will keep monitoring closely the process in these days should any input be requested from friendly EWG Members.”

This is the definition of captured agency! Understand it doesn’t mean that Big Pharma wholly owns the WHO. It means they’re able to get some policies made in their favor.

Those policies typically involve the buying of their product, giving them more profits that can then be used to do more lobbying and regulatory capture.

Here is a specific example of the results of such...

WHO Fueling the Opioid Epidemic

My [Medical Monopoly Musings](#) covered some of the ruthless tactics of pharmaceutical companies in spreading opioids across the US and the world. (See #20-#24 for specifics.)


How they did fraudulent science to show that opioids weren't addictive. How they bribed doctors and professional organizations and hospitals. How they even got into the opioid addiction treatment business to cover both ends of the game. How the DEA tracked the numbers but did nothing.

Before my research this morning, I was not aware of how the WHO was involved. But of course they were!

In 2019, US Congress representatives Katherine Clark and Hal Rogers, released the report [Corrupting Influence Purdue & the WHO: Exposing Dangerous Opioid Manufacturer Influence at the World Health Organization](#). Some revelations include:

- The WHO Collaborating Centre for Policy and Communications in Cancer Care at the University of Wisconsin Pain & Policy Studies Group received \$1.6 million from Purdue Pharma from 1999 to 2010.
- The official WHO guidelines, "Achieving Balance in National Opioid Control Policy: Guidelines for Assessment," relies on "the oft-repeated Purdue claim that dependence occurs in less than one percent of patients, despite no scientific evidence supporting this claim and a multitude of studies contradicting it."
- The WHO changed its policy to recommend Oxycontin in both steps 2 and 3 of its 3-step pain ladder, whereas previously they were just in one step. This was Purdue's goal to sell more drugs.
- **"The WHO is unambiguously recommending that highly addictive opioids should be available to children even though they openly recognize that there is little evidence to support that recommendation, and that any further research on the topic would 'likely' change the suggested course of action."** Wow! Actually it doesn't surprise me because drug companies do prey on children.
- The report concludes, "The World Health Organization is intended to be a steward of the public trust. By allowing Purdue and the opioid industry to influence guidelines on how opioids should be prescribed and regulated, the WHO has violated that trust. The agency owes the public an explanation. **The WHO must explain why these documents have been crafted with the input of people with decades of financial relationships with the opioid industry and written to include specific policy changes envisioned by Purdue.**"

FIGURE 2: INFLUENTIAL ORGANIZATIONS AND PEOPLE WITH TIES TO THE OPIOID INDUSTRY



This stuff is complex, purposefully so in order to hide and confuse how influence plays a role.

With major money you can influence plenty of key people and organizations as this map shows.

Pain management is just one of many topics covered by the WHO.

Former WHO Director General Warns of Pharma Industry Taking Over

Halfdan Mahler was the WHO Director General from 1973 to 1988. In 1988 the Danish newspaper Politiken warned against exactly this happening with the pharmaceutical industry. He was [quoted](#) as saying, “the industry is taking over WHO.”

That was back then! Remember once corruption has a foothold it expands over time. The WHO changed their policy to allow private funding in or around 2005. This allows for more industry influence.

An [article in the Journal of Integrative Medicine and Therapy by Søren Ventegodt](#) states, “The results from the Cochrane reviews, which most researchers regard as a much more reliable source of information on medicine than the data coming from the pharmaceutical industry itself, clash harshly with the recommendations of WHO in its drug directories...Many drugs listed in the WHO drug directories, like **“WHO’s model list of essential medicines”, have no value as medicine according to Cochrane reviews, since the drugs are dangerous, often harmful, and without significant beneficial effects for the patient.**”

Unfortunately, the drug companies now have their [influence spread into the Cochrane reviewers](#) and databases too! But it started out as a noble and useful venture but they couldn’t allow good science to continue to refute them.

Another WHO Whistleblower

“At a meeting between the Director-General and prospective vaccine manufacturer most of our colleagues were excluded. Me too. I was a head of department in the WHO and one of the Director General’s closest associates, an important member of staff in the organization. On that specific day I went down to the conference room and the person at the door said: ‘No, this is a private meeting.’ Even though I was a leading official at the WHO, responsible for an important topic that was under discussions there. I wasn’t allowed to enter. That demonstrates that there wasn’t enough transparency about what was being negotiated.”



Dr. Germán Velásquez, WHO Director of the Secretariat on Public Health, Innovation and Intellectual Property until 2010

I'm hypothesizing here but such a meeting could involve an agreement on a policy or decision that would benefit such a manufacturer just like we saw evidence of with opioids. Likely, there would be some sort of quid pro quo in doing so for the WHO or specific people at the WHO.

Once again, there are good people at the WHO that really want to help. Unfortunately, these people are locked out, quit or otherwise have their influence minimized as the corrupting influence spreads.

I was trying to find out the specific dates Velasquez worked at this position and I stumbled on [an interesting report about him](#). (pg. 9-10)

Back in 2001 he was the Director of WHO's Drug Action Programme. He was mugged and robbed in Rio de Janeiro. Two days later he was attacked again in Miami on Lincoln Road with one attacker saying, "Let's hope you learnt a lesson from Rio. **Stop criticizing the pharmaceutical industry.**" Then ten days later he received a midnight phone call which asked him "Are you afraid?" He asked what this was about and the reply was "Miami, Lincoln Road." Later the same voice called him telling him not to attend a World Trade Organization meeting on drug patent rights.

As is shown across the world, Big Pharma has ways of getting people aligned to its interests and of criticizing, hampering and threatening those that refuse to play ball.

What else would you expect from "organized crime" which the pharmaceutical industry fits the definition of?


Swine Flu (H1N1) Pandemic

Back in 2009, [ABC News reported](#), "The World Health Organization may have inadvertently triggered a new wave of fear over the threat of a swine flu pandemic today by suggesting that up to 2 billion people could be infected if the current outbreak worsens."

Only 11 years ago, yet if you review this case you see some interesting parallels...

[The WHO changed their rules about levels of pandemic for this one, lessening the severity of disease required.](#) "When then asked by a CNN reporter to explain the decision to declare Phase 5 in the light of the fact the WHO had previously

maintained a pandemic entailed large numbers of human fatalities and severe illness, the response of the secretariat was to delete its guidelines from its website."



The new guidelines. Severity of disease no longer included.

The reason for the change? A level 5 pandemic would then activate policies already in place where countries had to buy drugs and vaccines.

The Council of Europe is an official United Nations Observer. They launched an inquiry into the WHO's handling of the pandemic scandal. [The resolution for the inquiry](#) stated, "In order to promote their patented drugs and vaccines against flu, pharmaceutical companies influenced scientists and official agencies, responsible for public health standards to alarm governments worldwide and make them squander tight health resources for inefficient vaccine strategies and needlessly expose millions of healthy people to the risk of an unknown amount of side-effects of insufficiently tested vaccines."

Wolfgang Wodarg, chair of the Council of Europe states in the documentary, "The situation was evaluated correspondingly by the Council of Europe. Reprimand was issued. The lack of transparency, the role of the experts who were being paid by the pharmaceutical industry. Then changes were demanded, but the WHO didn't respond to the Council of Europe. The WHO only turned up for the first hearing and then didn't come again. It didn't have to. It isn't obliged to supply us with any information. We can't demand to confiscate the files, look through them. It is impossible. There isn't anybody who can do those things. And there's no investigating commission like in Parliament where the MPs can go and say

something has to stop and then everybody has to turn up and show their files. There's nothing like that. The WHO can operate in a very clandestine fashion."

So nothing much changed...

As I previously reported, in the US, the CDC did similar things, including [stopping actual counting of the swine flu cases while reporting large numbers](#) and telling people to get the vaccine.

Rampant Conflicts of Interest

How is this all possible? Conflicts of interest of course. [Articles in the BMJ](#) point out more detail:

"WHO enduringly failed to have a policy regarding conflicts of interest...Juhani Eskola (Finland) a member of the WHO group 'Strategic Advisory Group of Experts' (SAGE) has received 6 million Euros for his research center from the vaccine manufacturer GlaxoSmithKline during 2009."


"WHO chose not to disclose financial conflicts of interest among industry sponsored experts guiding its influenza policy."

Disclosure is not always clear. Regarding these [the BBC reported](#), "It is not clear whether these conflicts were notified privately by WHO to governments around the world, the BMJ said, and a request to see conflict of interest declarations was turned down. In addition, membership of the "emergency committee" which advised WHO's director general Margaret Chan on declaring an influenza pandemic has been kept secret. It means the names of the 16 committee members are known only to people within WHO, and as such their possible conflicts of interest with drug companies are unknown."

Of course, as should be expected, [the WHO dismissed this stuff as "conspiracy theories."](#) Let's see. You're having secret meetings. You're hiding conflicts of interest. You change your long held rules and cover that up. Your partners make a boat load of money from doing so...but nothing to see here folks!

There's plenty [more examples](#). "Dr Neil Ferguson reported receiving small consultancy fees from Baxter, GlaxoSmithKline and Roche for serving on scientific advisory boards and presenting at symposiums. He also received limited amount of consultancy fees from insurance companies (Swiss Re, RMS Ltd.,) and Serco Ltd., a

logistics company for advice on pandemic risk and planning. These payments occurred prior to 2008.”



You might recognize Ferguson’s name as one of the people behind the Imperial College model for the coronavirus pandemic that was used as justification for many countries going into quarantine. The same model that was later downgraded in deaths.

I’m very curious as to what exactly those “small” and “limited” consultancy fees are.

He’s part of SAGE, Scientific Advisory Group for Emergencies, that advises the UK and don’t reveal any information about their doing so. (Because science done in secret is always the best way to do science!)

So we have a wide range of disclosed, partially disclosed and undisclosed conflicts of interest. This, the money flowing, is how corruption occurs. It’s not just Big Pharma, but we see it elsewhere too.

Genetically modified food, pesticide use or EMF’s. (Yeah, we haven’t even covered those areas but it happens in them all.) And it happens in nuclear energy too...

WHO and Chernobyl

Drug companies are not the only regulatory capture problem!

I don't know much about Chernobyl. It happened when I was one year old. Just recently I watched the HBO limited series on it. Obviously, I do not take the show as what really happened, but it was eye-opening nonetheless.

Here is what [the WHO says regarding Chernobyl on its website](#):

"A total of up to 4000 people could eventually die of radiation exposure from the Chernobyl nuclear power plant (NPP) accident nearly 20 years ago, an international team of more than 100 scientists has concluded. As of mid-2005, however, fewer than 50 deaths had been directly attributed to radiation from the disaster, almost all being highly exposed rescue workers, many who died within months of the accident but others who died as late as 2004."

Fifty directly dead? That number seems very low if you know anything about radiation. Does it seem low to you?

[Robert Parsons, a freelance journalist, wrote](#), "For 55 years, as of May 29, 2014, the World Health Organization (WHO) has been under the heel of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) in matters regarding ionizing radiation and health. The IAEA, whose mandate is the promotion of everything nuclear, has thus prevented the WHO from carrying out its public health mandate in a world more and more exposed to the lethal effects of ionizing radiation."

If you want to dive deeper into this matter, then read Parsons article . There's plenty more to this story such as:

- The 1995 WHO Chernobyl Conference as organized by then Director General Dr. Hiroshi Nakajima that drew 700 scientists. Although the proceedings from the conference were promised, these never appeared. After retirement, [Nakajima said that these were blocked by the IAEA](#).
- Meanwhile the [New York Academy of Sciences published](#) a translation of a 2007 Russian publication that calculated the death toll from 1986 to 2004 at 985,000. Just a bit different from the official WHO estimate!
- Because of all this [Independent WHO](#), a grassroots movement, held a daily protest from 8am to 6pm in front of WHO headquarters every single working day for ten years (from April 26th 2007 to April 26th 2017). Their goal was to remind the WHO that it is failing in its duty to protect those populations who are victims of radioactive contamination. After a decade of continued official denial they stopped the protest to focus on other methods.



10 years of protest. The WHO remains silent...

WHO and Fukushima

With that track record in Chernobyl, it shouldn't be so surprising that we see more failings for the WHO in regards to Fukushima.

NY Times reported in an piece titled, ['W.H.O. Sees Low Health Risks From Fukushima Accident'](#) that "A study published on Thursday by the World Health Organization on the health risks associated with the disaster at the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant suggested that the risk for certain types of cancers had increased slightly among children exposed to the highest doses of radioactivity, but that there would most likely be no observable increase in cancer rates in the wider Japanese population."

On this, [Alison Katz said](#) "I worked for the World Health Organisation myself for 18 years and since I have left I have been involved with 'Independent WHO', which works in the area of radiation and health...The Japanese people are already talking. And they are reporting very, you know, very serious health effects in children that the World Health Organisation is ignoring, is not talking about, doesn't mention in its report. You know, at the time of Chernobyl the people couldn't talk freely...The other major omission is that the World Health Organisation has never considered anything except cancer as a health effect."

Meanwhile, the director-general of the WHO at the time Margaret Chan acknowledges that no amount of radiation is good to get in direct contradiction to the WHO and IAEA. ["For me, no radiation inside the body is good."](#)

Industry influence. Cover-ups. Denial of science. Internal censorship. Check, check, check, check.

Can you believe we're just getting started? Even more in the next part...

WHO – Patterns of Corruption Part 2

April 28, 2020

We continued to show that the World Health Organization (WHO) is a corrupted organization. To recap, in part one we saw the following:

- How “Control” of the WHO is less useful to think about than “Influence”
- How corruption would build in a large organization like the WHO, even if most of the people involved were good
- The censorship of a documentary (TrustWHO) that critically looked at the WHO
- How Big Tobacco was able to influence and infiltrate the WHO in order to continue to rake in profits. Long after this occurred, the WHO put together a committee to analyze how it happened. The report they produced is eye-opening because it’s a playbook of tactics...one that other industries are using against the WHO today
- A Wikileaks document dump showing the WHO took policy notes from Big Pharma
- How the WHO helped to fuel the opioid epidemic making policy straight from what Purdue Pharma wanted including bad science and over-prescribing
- A former Director General of the WHO, Halfdan Mahler stating Big Pharma “is taking over WHO” back in 1988
- Other whistleblowers, like Dr. Germán Velásquez, WHO Director of the Secretariat, being attacked by Pharma and locked out of important meetings
- The Swine Flu and how the WHO changed how the grading of pandemic levels were altered in order for Big Pharma to sell more drugs to countries
- Several examples of rampant conflicts of interest in employees and policy makers
- The WHO’s cover-up of Chernobyl and Fukushima deaths, denying radiation causes anything besides cancer

That was part one. Now, let us continue...

WHO Spends More on Travel then AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria

[The Associated Press obtained internal documents showing that the WHO spent \\$200 million a year on travel expenses.](#) This is more than what they spend on several major diseases combined, including AIDS, tuberculosis and malaria.

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION EXPENSES



That comes out to \$28714 per employee!

This came out at a time when the WHO was pleading for more funding because it was going broke!

Remember [Dr. Bruce Aylward, the man who pretended not to hear the question about Taiwan's coronavirus response and then hung up the phone?](#) Turns out he "racked up nearly \$400,000 in travel expenses during the Ebola crisis, sometimes flying by helicopter to visit clinics instead of traveling by jeep over muddy roads, according to internal trip reports he filed."

Speaking about then Director-General Margaret Chan, "Three sources who asked not to be identified for fear of losing their jobs told the AP that Chan often flew in first class." She spent more than \$370,000 that year. Always good to strike fear into your employees for telling the truth!

Travel is certainly necessary, but are these people using funds that ought to be better spent as their own slush fund?

Vaccines are Safe and Effective...but We Don't Know How Many People They Kill

The party line is always that vaccines are safe and effective. Dr. Soumya Swaminathan, Chief Scientist at will tell you exactly that. In this video you can hear her talk about how “vaccines are very safe.”



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sPSpyEi01VI>

Yet, then at the WHO Global Vaccine Safety Summit, in Geneva, December 2-3, 2019, she said,

“I cannot overemphasize the fact that **we really don't have very good safety monitoring systems in many countries** and this adds to miscommunication and the misapprehensions. Because **we are not able to give very good clear-cut answers when people ask questions about the deaths that have occurred due to a particular vaccine**, and this always gets brought up in the media. One should be able to give a factual account of what has happened and what the cause of deaths are. But in most cases, there is obfuscation and therefore there is less trust in the system.”

Here's a deeper dive including commentary that covers the many different people at the WHO summit talking about various areas in which they actually don't have good safety science.



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1xey8zlyQo>

The Goal is Universal Vaccination

The [Immunization Agenda 2030](#) envisions “A world where everyone, everywhere, at every age, fully benefits from vaccines for good health and well-being.”

“Immunization is the foundation of the primary health care system and an indisputable human right. It’s also one of the best health investments money can buy.”


Here’s the truth. Most anti-vaxxers aren’t really anti-vaccine. They simply want the choice to be able to opt-in or out for themselves or their children. Many are ex-vaxxers because someone in their families were injured severely. They want proper education about risks and benefits (aka informed consent) so people can make a good choice about this pharmaceutical intervention. The problem is that choice is being eroded.

The WHO and related organizations are very clear that “vaccine misinformation” is their enemy.

“Uptake of vaccination depends on [limiting] the spread of misinformation about the safety and effectiveness of vaccines [to] sustain trust in vaccines...and to build resilience against misinformation. The harm being caused by anti-vaccine messaging, especially on social media, should be addressed by understanding the

context and reasons for lack of trust and by building and keeping trust, especially in the face of fear and distrust in traditional establishments. Strategic investments to increase trust and confidence in vaccines, in particular through strong community engagement, would increase community support for vaccines and ensure that vaccination is viewed as a social norm.”

Contrary to what they say, it is not so much the spread of misinformation, but of real information. People are starting to pick up on this as which is why trust in vaccines is going down. And this is why censorship is increasing.



https://www.google.com/search?q=public+trust+vaccine+safety&rlz=1C1GCEB_enG8836G8836&og=public+trus&aqs=chrome.69i59j0l2j69i57j0j69i61j69i60j69i61.4460j0j7&sourceid=chrome&ie=UTF-8

Survey by American Society for Microbiology and Research America

They even are going so far to say that when vaccines cause injuries they aren't really from the vaccine! Instead it's all in people's heads, aka "immunization stress-related responses". How many times have doctors used this excuse when they simply don't know what is going on? (Chronic Fatigue Syndrome, EMF hypersensitivity, etc.)

When its not about the vaccine, but the vaccination experience

Immunization stress-related responses

In December 2015, GACVS received reports from mainstream and social media in several countries in which clusters of anxiety-related reactions after immunization had adversely affected immunization programmes.⁶

the term, “immunization anxiety-related reaction” would not cover the entire spectrum of these events. A new term was proposed, as it was considered that “*immunization -triggered stress*

The term “*immunization stress-related responses (ISRR)*” was considered more appropriate.

GACVS agreed that the manual should be prominently featured in the vaccine safety landscape, as prevention, diagnosis and management of ISRR are fundamental



I get it. I agree that misinformation would be best not to be shared.

But you know what is labeled misinformation? Any information and studies that show that there are risks to vaccines. That means they're not really clamping down on misinformation but instead are spreading propaganda.

Informed Consent...By Default

The WHO also has a document called, "[Considerations regarding consent in vaccinating children and adolescents between 6 and 17 years old](#)" which is an interesting read.

Early on they state, "For consent to be valid, it must be informed, understood and voluntary, and the person consenting must have the capacity to make the decision." Yes, I think everyone agrees with this.

But then, one of the three common approaches listed on the next page is implied consent. "An implied consent process by which parents are informed of imminent vaccination through social mobilization and communication, sometimes including letters directly addressed to the parents. Subsequently, the physical presence of

the child or adolescent, with or without an accompanying parent at the vaccination session, is considered to imply consent.”

In this day and age after the #metoo movement, guys are scared to make a move on women because they don't have implicit and verbal consent. Yet, a medical procedure can be done with less consent than going in for a kiss?

Doesn't make much sense to me. Could a pedophile send out information about themselves to parents, such as an arrest record on a postcard, then get away with groping a child saying they parent had implied consent by not keeping them away? Don't think so!

Charles Weijer, a bioethicist at Western University in Canada, says that implied consent is “no substitute for informed consent. Indeed, implied consent is no consent at all. We have no assurance that parents in fact received information about [vaccination studies] let alone that they understood it.” https://eurekaalert.org/pub_releases/2020-02/b-wmv022520.php

While this document is focused on adolescents, similar ideas are applied elsewhere. Understand, adult coronavirus vaccination mandates are coming...

“Whether consent is needed for mandatory vaccination depends on the legal nature of the regulations. When mandatory vaccination is established in relevant provisions in law, consent may not be required.”

Most of my readers are in the US so you might want to know about '[Jacobson v. Massachusetts.](#)' This Supreme Court case upheld the authority of states to enforce compulsory vaccination laws. “The Court's decision articulated the view that the freedom of the individual must sometimes be subordinated to the common welfare and is subject to the police power of the state.”

I do expect there will be new laws passed or changed to make this easier, as was done in Denmark. The vaccine people are quite clear that they want mandatory vaccines for every person. They might not be able to actually force it on you. Maybe it's fines or imprisonment if you opt out. Maybe it's that they make it so that you can't participate in something if you don't get it. We saw this with children not being allowed to go to school in several states. What appears to be the plan is that you will not be allowed to travel without vaccination. So yes, you can opt out, but then you'll lose these privileges.

[Takeshi Kasai](#), the WHO's regional director for the Western Pacific, "At least until a vaccine, or a very effective treatment, is found, this process will need to become our new normal." We're locked down until the vaccine is here.

Understand, that is where this is going.

WHO's Breach of Ethics with Malaria Vaccine

All that about informed consent was to help you to understand a study going on right now.

Mosquirix, also known as the RTS,S vaccine, is produced by GlaxoSmithKline. (To give some background, [GSK has paid \\$4.4 billion in fines in the US since 2000](#) for false marketing and claims, safety violations, bribery and more. This includes a \$3 billion lawsuit where they withheld critical safety data from the FDA.)

[GSK also gave \\$7.365 million to the WHO in 2017, and \\$24 million in in-kind contributions.](#) (That's a good way to get tax breaks as you'll get the fair market value for vaccines and drugs donated.)

A large scale study, led by the WHO, of Mosquirix's effects is being conducted in Malawi, Ghana and Kenya. This study will involve 720,000 children of which implied consent is given.

Congratulations, you have been selected to be a part of a medical experiment...and we won't even let you know about it. Your consent is implied because we sent some pamphlets out even though they didn't disclose all the information about a doubled-death rate in girls in the earlier trial.

This violates the Nuremberg Code...you know what was put in place to stop medical experiments such as the Nazi's conducted.

Why is this worrisome? A [BMJ article sums it up](#) well:

- *Phase III trials of the RTS,S malaria vaccine identified three safety concerns: higher risks of meningitis, cerebral malaria, and **doubled female mortality** (emphasis added)*
- *Owing to the urgency of improving malaria control, the World Health Organization intends to decide on extending the vaccine to other African countries after only 24 months using the prevention of "severe malaria" as a surrogate marker for overall mortality*

- *Severe malaria is not a good marker for all cause mortality; it is not even a good marker for malaria mortality, as data indicate that case fatality from severe malaria might be higher in the malaria vaccine group*
- *An early decision after 24 months might be biased in favour of the vaccine, which was more efficacious in the first year of follow-up in the phase III trials; the relative risks of both cerebral malaria and female mortality increased after the booster dose at 20 months*
- *We recommend that the pilot studies use “overall mortality” to assess vaccine performance and that study populations are followed for the full 4-5 years of the study before a decision on rollout is made*

Meanwhile, this study specifically violates the Malawi constitution which states, “No person shall be subjected to medical or scientific experimentation without his or her consent.”

Right now, there’s a [petition on Change.org](#) that’s just under 6,000 signatures away from 35,000 needed to try to change this.

[More details about this can be found in this article.](#)

There are so many issues around the WHO’s use of vaccines. Just to give a taste of some others, the [Open Letter from International Organisations to the WHO on the Issue of Vaccine Safety](#) states:

“In your previous meeting you advocated for less independent testing, considered ‘redundant’, in order to speed up the supply of products. The recent administration of 250,000 defective vaccines in China, the tragedy of the oral polio campaign in India with over 450,000 cases of paralysis and death, the damage caused by the Dengue vaccine in the Philippines reports from all over the world of chronic pain and paralysis after administration of the HPV vaccine show that vaccine safety and efficacy are being tragically disregarded in this drive for fast-tracking approval and easy certification.”

The COVID19 Pandemic

Let’s turn gears to look at some other controversial parts of the handling of the COVID19 pandemic.

Separating Families for Quarantining

“Now we need to go and look in families to find those people who may be sick and remove them and isolate them in a safe and dignified manner.”

– Dr. Michael Ryan, Executive Director of WHO Health Emergencies Programme



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2v3vlw14NbM>

I don't know anyone who would agree that that's a good idea! Do you?

It's not hard for anyone to imagine this becoming even more totalitarian. If all the state has to do is show you that you've "tested positive" and you disappear.

Believe the WHO...or Believe the WHO?

More than 50% of our planet is in some form of lockdown. There's the ever popular social distancing. There are travel restrictions and curfews. Contact tracing is the new hot technological term. How well do these work?

Turns out [the WHO wrote a report in October 2019](#) that looked specifically at the scientific evidence for them.

The WHO follows the best science, right? (The best science money can buy!) So it was interesting to find this their own scientists said what we're doing isn't the way to go. **All those mentioned above had little to no scientific evidence.**

Table 1. Recommendations on the use of NPIs by severity level

SEVERITY	PANDEMIC*
Any	Hand hygiene Respiratory etiquette Face masks for symptomatic individuals Surface and object cleaning Increased ventilation Isolation of sick individuals Travel advice
Moderate	<i>As above, plus</i> Avoiding crowding
High	<i>As above, plus</i> Face masks for public School measures and closures
Extraordinary	<i>As above, plus</i> Workplace measures and closures Internal travel restrictions
Not recommended in any circumstances	UV light Modifying humidity Contact tracing Quarantine of exposed individuals Entry and exit screening Border closure

NPI: non-pharmaceutical intervention; UV: ultraviolet.

Notice what is “not recommended in any circumstances” and “extraordinary measures.”

“Home quarantine of exposed individuals to reduce transmission is not recommended because there is no obvious rationale for this measure, and there would be considerable difficulties in implementing it.”

And yet here we are quarantining even non-exposed people!

Dr. Mike Ryan, who wants to separate families as deemed necessary, has [stated](#), “There is no specific evidence to suggest that the wearing of masks by the mass population has any potential benefit. In fact, there’s some evidence to suggest the opposite in the misuse of wearing a mask properly or fitting it properly.”

Yet, regarding masks, this same report states, "Recommended for symptomatic individuals, and conditionally recommended for public protection. Given the costs and the uncertain effectiveness, face masks are conditionally recommended only in severe influenza epidemics or pandemics for the protection of the general population, but are recommended for symptomatic individuals at all times."


If they can't even get masks right (the CDC similarly flip-flopped on the matter), do you really trust them with bigger health ideas?

WHO Urges Sweden to Revise Course

"The World Health Organization (WHO) is skeptical of Sweden's approach. Noting a fresh surge in the country's infections, the WHO told CNN Wednesday that it's "imperative" that Sweden "increase measures to control spread of the virus, prepare and increase capacity of the health system to cope, ensure physical distancing and communicate the why and how of all measures to the population," [reports CNN](#).

Earlier on during the pandemic I was rooting for Sweden to not cave into the political and media pressure. I felt it was important that they stick to their guns so that we had a "control group" compared to all the countries who locked down. So far, so good!

Time will still tell, but it seems that this is spun in each direction depending on which set of facts you look at.



<https://swprs.org/a-swiss-doctor-on-covid-19/> (April 25th update)

Unfortunately, even if this is the case, it will be explained away as an aberration because that is how you control the narrative.

Antibodies = No Immunity

In their [April 24th update, the WHO](#) said, “There is currently no evidence that people who have recovered from COVID-19 and have antibodies are protected from a second infection.”

No evidence is a strong phrase, not to mention one that flies in the face with how immunity tends to work.

Yes, there certainly are some cases of people being infected with SARS-CoV-2 more than once. But does that make for “no evidence”?


This caused another uproar. And the WHO walked back their statements the next day, tweeting:

Earlier today we tweeted about a new WHO scientific brief on "immunity passports". The thread caused some concern & we would like to clarify:

*We expect that most people who are infected with [#COVID19](#) will develop an antibody response that will provide some level of protection. pic.twitter.com/AmxvQQLTjM
— World Health Organization (WHO) (@WHO) [April 25, 2020](#)*

This whole concept is more interesting because antibodies are how most vaccines work. This gives rise to the idea, with fast-mutating coronavirus strains, that it would need to be an annual shot like the not-very-effective influenza vaccine.

Funding and Defunding the WHO



² Full lists for 2016 and 2017 of all voluntary contributions, by fund and by contributor are provided in separate reports. Documents A70/INF./4 and A71/INF./2, respectively.

Personally, I think Trump's calls to defund the WHO are a good move. [On April 14th he said](#), "Today I am instructing my administration to halt funding of the WHO while a review is conducted to assess the WHO's role in severely mismanaging and covering up the spread of the coronavirus."

The interesting thing about this is that if it comes to pass, it makes the Gates Foundation the biggest funder of the WHO, so we'll turn there next.

But first look at just how much Big Pharma contributes. This is from [the 2017 report](#).

- Bayer \$1,158,060
- Bristol-Myers Squibb \$215,730
- GlaxoSmithKline \$7,365,666

- Gilead Sciences \$3,124,450
- Hoffmann-La Roche \$6,628,090
- Merck \$1,912,226
- Novartis \$500,000
- Sanofi Pasteur \$9,411,491
- Sanofi-Aventis \$2,634,963

I listed just some of the more recognizable pharmaceutical companies' names. This does not include the many organizations these companies contribute to that then contribute to the WHO such as CDC Foundation (\$3.2 million), Rockefeller Foundation (\$748,945), and many others.

And overall, it's not that much compared to the total \$2.1 billion privately donated that year (compared to \$1 billion from member states, that is governments).

But understand that these donations are devoted to specific projects as picked by the donors.

Can you say conflicts of interest? [You can read more about how these financial contributions violate the WHO's own guidelines in this article.](#)

WHO Largely Funded by Gates Foundation

Looking at the same 2017 report, The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation gave \$324,654,317 to the WHO.

This is in addition to GAVI, the Vaccine Alliance, which the Gates Foundation is heavily funding, which gave \$133,365,051. Or The Global Fund which gave \$16,170,654, which [the Gates Foundation has pledged \\$650 million from 2017-2019.](#)

I start with this sharp criticism from a WHO employee of the Gates Foundation's influence. Arati Kochi was the chief of the malaria program at the WHO. He complained to then director-general Chan that the money could have "far-reaching, largely unintended consequences."

[In 2008, New York Times reported:](#)

Many of the world's leading malaria scientists are now "locked up in a 'cartel' with their own research funding being linked to those of others within the group," Kochi wrote. Because "each has a vested interest to safeguard the work of the others," he wrote, getting independent reviews of research proposals "is becoming increasingly difficult."

Also, he argued, the foundation's determination to have its favored research used to guide the health organization's recommendations "could have implicitly dangerous consequences on the policy-making process in world health."

Kochi, an openly undiplomatic official who won admiration for reorganizing the world fight against tuberculosis but was ousted from that job partly because he offended donors like the Rockefeller Foundation, called the Gates Foundation's decision making "a closed internal process, and as far as can be seen, accountable to none other than itself."

Moreover, he added, the foundation "even takes its vested interest to seeing the data it helped generate taken to policy."

There have been hints in recent months that the World Health Organization feels threatened by the growing power of the Gates Foundation. Some scientists have said privately that the foundation was "creating its own WHO."

Another [New York Times article from 2017](#), wrote that "the Gates Foundation...has claimed for itself a core W.H.O. role: "'diagnosing the world's health problems and identifying the solutions.'"

That's interesting. Just because Bill Gates was formerly the richest person on the planet, he's become qualified to diagnose and solve the world's health problems? As many people point out he is not a doctor, not medically trained, not scientifically trained, instead a businessman.

As a [Politico article](#) put it, "Some billionaires are satisfied with buying themselves an island. Bill Gates got a United Nations health agency in Geneva."

Kochi is not the only critic. Far from it. Some details from the Politico article:

"The term often used was 'monopolistic philanthropy', the idea that Gates was taking his approach to computers and applying it to the Gates Foundation," said a source close to the WHO board.

However, his sway has NGOs and academics worried. Some health advocates fear that because the Gates Foundation's money comes from investments in big business, it could serve as a Trojan horse for corporate interests to undermine WHO's role in setting standards and shaping health policies.

But the foundation's focus on delivering vaccines and medicines, rather than on building resilient health systems, has drawn criticism. And some NGOs worry it may be too close to industry.

There's a reason I wrote [Robber Baron Philanthropists](#). I believe Gates is today's prime example of just that. The fact is you do not have to believe he's an evil eugenicist to see that there are problems with his approach. I hope to clearly outline the various possibilities so we'll be exploring details about him more in the future.

Trust the WHO – Mainstream Media and Big Tech All Do...

[AP News reports](#), for years now, people at the WHO have been pressuring Big Tech to "to take more aggressive action against anti-vaccination misinformation."

With the pandemic, censorship has been kicked up another notch. Andy Pattison is the manager of digital solutions for the WHO. "Pattison said he and his team now directly flag misleading coronavirus information and, at times, lobby for it to be removed from Facebook, Google and Google's YouTube service." These and others, like Twitter, have been "cracking down in unprecedented ways."

In fact, you'll be censored if you say anything that is not following the guidelines of the WHO. Yep, the people that have THIS track record I've been sharing are the definitive authority for the world and your information.

A few days ago, [CEO of Youtube, Susan Wokcicki said they'll ban anything against WHO guidelines](#). This includes "anything that is medically unsubstantiated". "So people saying 'take vitamin C; take turmeric, we'll cure you', those are the examples of things that would be a violation of our policy,"

Let me get this straight. The WHO is the authority who chooses which information is “correct”. So what are the big tech people supposed to do when the WHO contradicts themselves regarding transmission of the disease, wearing masks, or a variety of other things?

Twitter really should have deleted the WHO’s tweet that there was no evidence of antibodies giving immunity!

I haven’t seen anyone saying they have cures for coronavirus, though I’m sure they’re out there. I see a lot of people talking about how vitamin C is necessary for immune system support. It seems to me this message should be propagated rather than clamped down on.

Type in [‘vitamin c immune’ in PubMed and you get 989 results](#). The second of these is [‘Intravenous Vitamin C for reduction of cytokines storm in Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome.’](#) This review concludes: “It is believed that IV Vit-C has been particularly effective by inhibiting the production of cytokines storm due to Corvid19.” (sic)

And now I am officially a spreader of misinformation. Oh wait, I’ve been doing that all along because I’ve been talking about vaccines in other than glowing terms!

Meanwhile, [the FBI raided a spa that offered high-dose IV vitamin C](#) to support people’s immune systems, particularly front-line workers like hospital staff.

This is what happens when the medical cartel makes the rules on what we can do and say.

Closing Thoughts

Having explored all this it is abundantly clear to me that the WHO is not really about health. They’re about disease. It’s the pharmaceutical disease-care model all over and little else. This is further and further being propagated into mainstream and online media notably through censorship.

While I’m sure there are still good people around, it is clear that the conflicts of interest are rampant which breeds corruption. The WHO is influenced heavily by Big Pharma. They’re influenced by Gates who appears to be intimately involved with the medical cartel.

The WHO is influenced by China's Communist Party, which is a whole other layer. And, to be honest, I'm not sure how that fits in with all the rest, though I figure I'll find some more when digging deeper into Gates.

It comes down to this:

Do you believe that pharmaceuticals are the route to health?

No, then the World Health Organization is not your authority.

Health does not come from a pharmacist. It does not come at the tip of a syringe. I'm not saying those don't have their uses either, but it seems to me if the WHO was really about health, things would be far, far different.

About the Author

Logan Christopher owns [Lost Empire Herbs](#) along with his two brothers. This ecommerce company is dedicated to bringing the super heroes of the herbal world into the lives of humans to help assist health and performance. This includes herbs that most people haven't heard of such as pine pollen, shilajit and ant extract. Some of the main focuses for both men and women are hormone optimization, sexual health, enhancing workouts, longevity, and cognitive performance with guaranteed results. This company was born out of seeking to enhance athletic performance, starting from his first company.

Logan also runs [Legendary Strength](#) which teaches people the lost art of strength training. Everything from bodyweight training, kettlebells, barbells, grip strength and oldtime strongman feats. As a performing strongman Logan has done such feats as pulling an 8800 lb. antique fire truck by his hair, juggling a kettlebell that was lit on fire, supporting over half a ton in a wrestler's bridge, ripping a deck of cards into eighths and much more. These can be seen online on [his Best Of page here](#).

He has written over 15 books, including Mental Muscle, Powered By Nature, Upgrade Your Breath, Upgrade Your Testosterone, The Master Keys to Strength & Fitness, The Ultimate Guide to Handstand Pushups, The Indestructible Body and more.

He is also a Neuro Linguistic Programming Trainer and holds certifications in Hypnosis, Emotional Freedom Technique and Energy Medicine. All of these methods he has taken and applied to health and fitness.

He lives in California, in the Santa Cruz mountains along with his wife Charlotte, daughter Elka and three cats, Ragnarok, Valhalla and Thor.

